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#### Abstract

The prominent method for solving nonlinear equation is the classical Newton's method. Nevertheless the method is computational expensive, especially when handling largescale systems, it requires more computation for storage of the Jacobian matrix, as well as solving a Newton's system at each iteration. In this paper, we continue the spirit of Newton method to develop an alternative approximation for the Newton step via diagonal updating. The anticipation behind our approach is to reduce the computational complexity of the classical Newton's method for solving large-scale systems of nonlinear equations. The convergence of the method proposed has been proven under standard assumptions. Numerical investigation into the effectiveness and consistency of the proposed scheme are given by numerical evaluation of some well-known benchmark nonlinear systems with some variants of Newton's method.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the system of nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 \\
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 \\
& \vdots  \tag{1}\\
& f_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The above system can be denoted by $F(x)=0$, where $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n} \quad$ is continuously differentiable in an open neighborhood $\Delta^{*} \subset \Delta$ of a solution $x^{*} \in \Delta$ of the system, where $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ and the Jacobian matrix of $F$ at $x^{*}$ is given by $F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)$ that is a nonsingular matrix. The famous iterative method for solving (1) is the Newton's method. For any specified initial point $x_{0}$ in the neighborhood of $x^{*}$, the solution of (1) that is obtained by Newton's method is an iterative procedure given below:

Algorithm NM (Newton's method)
Step 1: Solve $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) s_{k}=-F\left(x_{k}\right)$ for $s_{k}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$
Step 2: Update $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+s_{k}$.
Where $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $F$ at $x_{k}, s_{k}$ is a correction to the previous iteration and the equation in Step 1 is the Newton's system. The convergence of Algorithm NM is guaranteed where the rate is quadratic for an initial point $x_{0}$ in the neighborhood of $x^{*}$ when the Jacobian matrix is nonsingular at the solution $x^{*}$ ( see Dennis (1983)), that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq h\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|, k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for step-size $h$.
The numerical implementation of algorithm NM turns to be expensive due to the computation for storing the Jacobian matrix, as well as solving the Newton's system at each iteration (see Dennis (1983)). These basic shortcomings have attracted the attention of many scholars over time. In addition to these, the computation of the Jacobian matrix which entails firstorder derivatives of the systems are quite costly and even not available or could not be done precisely, in these case Newton's method cannot be used directly.

It is imperative to mention that there is quite a number of revised Newton's -type methods that is being introduced which includes fixed Newton's, inexact Newton's and quasi-Newton's to diminish the weakness of Newton's method see for example (Kelly (1995)).

However, the foremost difficulty of these methods is the matrix storage requirements particularly when handling large systems of nonlinear equations. To overcome this difficulty, the simplest and easiest modification is the fixed Newton's method, where the computation for storing the Jacobian matrix at each iterations (except at $k=0$ ) is avoided but requires solving systems of $n$ linear equations, Natasa et al. (2001).

Since the less information of the Jacobian matrix at each iteration, the fixed Newton's method has linear rate of convergence. For any specified initial point $x_{0}$ in the neighborhood of $x^{*}$, the solution of (1) that is by the fixed Newton's method is an iterative procedure stated below:

Algorithm FN (Fixed Newton method)
Given initial $x_{0}$,
Step 1: Solve $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) s_{k}=-F\left(x_{k}\right)$ for $s_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots$
Step 2: Update $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+s_{k}$.
The second revised Newton's-type method is the inexact Newton's method, where approximate solution of Newton's system is obtained (stepl of algorithm FN) by some iterations (see Eisenstat et al. (1982) for details), as mentioned below:

Algorithm INM (Inexact Newton's method)
Step 1: Find some $s_{k}$, which satisfies $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) s_{k}=-F\left(x_{k}\right)+r_{k}$
where $\left\|r_{k}\right\| \leq \eta_{k}\left\|F\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|$.
Step 2: Set $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+s_{k}$.
Where $\eta_{k}$ is a sequence of forcing terms for $0 \leq \eta_{k} \leq 1, k=0,1,2, \ldots$
The Quasi-Newton method is another revised Newton's-type method, where it replaces the Jacobian matrix or inverse of the Jacobian matrix with an approximation, which can be updated at each iteration, Drangoslav et al. (1996), according to following stages:

## Algorithm QN (Quasi-Newton method)

Given initial guess $x_{0}$,
Step 1: Solve $B_{k} s_{k}=-F\left(x_{k}\right)$, for $s_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, n$
Step 2: Update $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+s_{k}$,
where $B_{k}$ is an approximation to the Jacobian matrix. The basic idea of quasi-Newton's method is to reduce the evaluation cost of the Jacobian matrix. If function evaluations are very expensive, then the cost of finding a solution by quasi-Newton's method could be much smaller than those with some variant of Newton's methods, like the inexact Newton's method Drangoslav et al. (1996). Various Jacobian approximation matrices such as the Broyden (1965) updating are given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k+1}=B_{k}+\frac{\left(y_{k}-B_{k} s_{k}\right) s_{k}^{T}}{s_{k}^{T} s_{k}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}$ is a Jacobian matrix approximation, $y_{k}=F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $s_{k}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}$. In fact, the most crucial part of these methods is on forming and storing a full-matrix approximation to the Jacobian matrix at each iteration. Some alternatives methods are proposed to do away with the shortcomings of the Newton's method. These weaknesses, together with some other weaknesses of the Newton's-like methods especially when handling large-scale systems of nonlinear equations, lead us to the inspiration of this paper. It is important to note that, the diagonal updating scheme has been applied in unconstrained optimization problems (see Hassan et al. (2009); Leong et al. (2009); Leong et al. (2010; 2011a; 2011b); Modarres et al (2010;2011); Waziri et al. (2010a; 2010b;2011)).

In this paper, we design and implement an alternative approximation to the Newton's step via diagonal updating by means of variational techniques. It is worth mentioning that the new updating scheme has been applied to solve (1) without the cost of computing or storing the Jacobian matrix. This can reduce: computational cost, matrix storage requirement, execution time (CPU time) and eliminates the needs of solving $n$ linear equations at each iteration. The proposed diagonal updating method works efficient and the results are very encouraging. In addition, the method proposed can also solve a couple of problems, which cannot be solved by methods involving Jacobian matrix computation. The rest of this paper is organized as follows.

We present the proposed method in Section 2 and discuss the convergence analysis in Section 3. Some numerical results are reported in Section 4 and finally Conclusion is given in Section 5.

## 2. DIAGONAL UPDATING

In this section we shall derive a new approximation scheme to the Newton's step based on diagonal updating. This scheme does not require function derivatives but it generates a sequence of points $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-Q_{k} F\left(x_{k}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{k}$ is a diagonal matrix. Our plan here is to build a square matrix $Q$ using diagonal updating which is an approximation to Jacobian inverse into diagonal matrix. Let us denote $s_{k}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}$ and $y_{k}=F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)$. Then by the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) s_{k}=y_{k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} F\left(x_{k}+\theta s_{k-1}\right) d \theta$ is Jacobian matrix. Equation (5) is considered as the secant equation. On the other hand, if $\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$ is invertible, according to (5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}=\left(\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{-1} y_{k} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We propose to approximate the Newton's step $S_{k}^{N}$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}^{N}=\left(\bar{F}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{k}\right) \approx Q_{k} F\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we update $Q$ by $Q_{k+1}=Q_{k}+B_{k}$ with a correction $B$ that is also a diagonal matrix. In addition, the deviation between $Q_{k+1}$ and $Q_{k}$ is minimized under some variational technique, hence, in the following theorem, we state the resulting update formula for $Q_{k}$.

Theorem 2.1 Assumed that $Q_{k}$ is a diagonal matrix and diagonal update of $Q_{k}$ to be $Q_{k+1}$. Let us denotes the deviation between $Q_{k}$ and $Q_{k+1}$ as $B_{k}=Q_{k+1}-Q_{k}$. Supposed that $y \neq 0$. Consider the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\min \frac{1}{2}\left\|B_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2} \\
\text { s.t } y_{k}^{T}\left(Q_{k}+B_{k}\right) y_{k}=y_{k}^{T} s_{k}, \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{F}$ denotes the Frobenuis norm. Hence the optimal solution of (E:ma) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=\frac{\left(y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{k}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{k}^{2}\right)} A_{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(y_{k}^{(1)^{2}}, y_{k}^{(2)^{2}}, \ldots, y_{k}^{(n)^{2}}\right), \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{k}^{(i)^{4}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{k}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}($.$) is the$ trace operator.

Proof. From the fact that, the objective function and the constraint are convex, the unique solution can be obtained by considering its Langrangian function as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(B_{k}, \alpha\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|B_{k}\right\|_{F}^{2}+\alpha\left(y_{k}^{T} B_{k} y_{k}-y_{k}^{T} s_{k}+y_{k}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the corresponding Langrangian multiplier. By differentiating $L$ with respect to each $B_{k}^{(1)}, B_{k}^{(2)}, \ldots, B_{k}^{(n)}$, and set them equal to zero, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{(i)}=-\alpha\left(y_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2} \text { for all } i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through multiplying both sides of (11) by $\left(y_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}$ and sum them to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{k}^{(i)^{2}} B_{k}^{(i)}=-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{k}^{(i)^{4}} \text { for every } i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We invoking the constraint by differentiating $L$ with respect to $\alpha$ and since $y_{k}^{T} B_{k} y_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{k}^{(i)^{2}} B^{(i)}\right)$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{k}^{(i)^{2}} B_{k}^{(i)}\right)=y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{k}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (12) and (13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-\frac{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{k}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{k}^{(i)^{4}}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by substituting (14) into (11) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{(i)}=\frac{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{k}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{k}^{(i)^{4}}}\left(y_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2} \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

this completes the proof .
Hence, from Theorem 2.1 the best possible updating formula for diagonal matrix $Q_{k+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k+1}=Q_{k}+\frac{\left(y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{k}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2}\right)} A_{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To safeguard the possibly very small $\left\|y_{k}\right\|$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2}\right)$, we require that $\left\|y_{k}\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{1}$ for some chosen small $\varepsilon_{1}>0$. Else we set $Q_{k+1}=Q_{k}$. Hence,
$Q_{k+1}$ is given as: $Q_{k+1}= \begin{cases}Q_{k}+\frac{\left(y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{k}^{T} Q_{k_{k}}\right)}{\left.T_{r\left(A_{k}\right)}^{2}\right)} A_{k} & ;\left\|y_{k}\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{1}, \\ Q_{k} & ; \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
Finally, we propose the following algorithm.
Algorithm DBLM (Diagonal Broyden-Like method)
Given $x_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$, set $k=0$
Step 1 : Compute $F\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $x_{k+1}=x_{k}-Q_{k} F\left(x_{k}\right)$.
Step 2 : If $\left\|s_{k}\right\|_{2}+\left\|F\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq 10^{-4}$ stop. Else go to Step 3.
Step 3 : If $\left\|y_{k}\right\|_{2} \geq \varepsilon_{1}$ where $\varepsilon_{1}=10^{-4}$, compute $Q_{k+1}$ from (16), else, $Q_{k+1}=Q_{k}$. Set $k=k+1$ and go to 1 .

## 3. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

This section states the convergence analysis of the proposed method. In doing so, we require the following standard assumptions on $F$.

Assumption 1
(i) $F$ is differentiable in an open convex set $\Delta$ in $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$
(ii) $F^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous for all $x$ and there exists $x^{*} \in \Delta$ such that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$,
(iii) There exists constants $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}\|\Phi\|^{2} \leq \Phi^{T} F^{\prime}(x) \Phi \leq t_{2}\|\Phi\|^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \Delta$ and $\Phi \in \mathfrak{R}^{n}$.
(iv) There exists a positive constant $v$ such that the Jacobian matrix satisfies the Lipshitez condition, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leq v\|x-y\| \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \Delta$.
To present the convergence of our proposed method, we require the following convergence result which is an exceptional case of a more general theorem that has been proved by Kelly (1995).

Theorem 3.1. Let Assumption 1 holds. There are $K_{B}>0, \delta>0$ and $\delta_{1}>0$, such that if $x_{0} \in B(\boldsymbol{\delta})$ and the matrix-valued function $B(x)$ satisfies $\left\|I-B(x) F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|=\rho(x)<\delta_{1}$ for all $x \in B(\delta)$ then the iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-B\left(x_{k}\right) F\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges linearly to $x^{*}$.
For the proof see Kelly (1995).
Hence, we state the following result:
Theorem 3.2. Let $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_{k+1}=x_{k}-Q_{k} F\left(x_{k}\right)$, where $Q_{k}$ is defined by (16). Let the Assumption 1 holds and there exist constants $\theta>0, \delta>0$ and $\lambda>0$, such that if $x_{0} \in \Delta$ and $Q_{0}$ satisfies
$\left\|I-Q_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}<\delta$ for all $x \in \Delta$, the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges linearly to $x^{*}$.

## Proof.

It is enough to show that the updating formula $Q_{k}$ satisfied $\left\|I-Q_{k} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}<\delta_{k}$, for some constant $\delta_{k}>0$ and all $k$. It follows from (16), since $B_{k}=\frac{\left(y_{k}^{T} s_{k}-y_{y_{2}}^{T} Q_{k} y_{k}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2}\right)} A_{k}$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{k+1}\right\|_{F} \leq\left\|Q_{k}\right\|_{F}+\left\|B_{k}\right\|_{F} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without the lost of generality, by assuming $Q_{0}=I$ and for $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{1}\right\|_{F} \leq\left\|Q_{0}\right\|_{F}+\left\|B_{0}\right\|_{F} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Q_{0}$ is an identity matrix, hence $\left\|Q_{0}\right\|_{F}=\sqrt{n}$. From (9) when $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|B_{0}^{(i)}\right|=\left|\frac{y_{0}^{T} s_{0}-y_{0}^{T} Q_{0} y_{0}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2}\right)} y_{0}^{(i)^{2}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left|y_{0}^{T} s_{0}-y_{0}^{T} Q_{0} y_{0}\right|}{y_{0}^{(\max )^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{(i)^{4}}} y_{0}^{(\max )^{4}} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\frac{y_{0}^{(\max )^{4}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{1}^{(i)^{4}}} \leq 1$, then (22) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{0}^{(i)}\right| \leq \frac{\left|y_{0}^{T} F^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{0}\right) y_{0}-y_{0}^{T} Q_{0} y_{0}\right|}{y_{0}^{(\max )^{2}}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using condition (iv) of Assumption 1 and letting $t=\max \left\{\left|t_{1}\right|,\left|t_{2}\right|\right\}$, becomes

$$
\left|B_{0}^{(i)}\right| \leq \frac{|t-1|\left(y_{0}^{T} y_{0}\right)}{y_{0}^{(\max )^{2}}}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, n$, and $y_{0}^{(i)^{2}} \leq y_{0}^{(\max )^{2}}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{0}^{(i)}\right| \leq \frac{|t-1| n y_{0}^{(\max )^{2}}}{y_{0}^{(\max )^{2}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{0}\right\|_{F} \leq n^{\frac{3}{2}}|t-1| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda=n^{\frac{3}{2}}|t-1|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{0}\right\|_{F} \leq \lambda \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (27) in (21) and let $\theta=\sqrt{n}+\lambda$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{1}\right\|_{F} \leq \theta \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Q_{1}=Q_{0}+B_{0}$ and it's assumed that at $k=0,\left\|I-Q_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|I-Q_{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F} \leq\left\|I-Q_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}+\left\|B_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F} \\
& \leq\left\|I-Q_{0} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}+\left\|B_{0}\right\|_{F}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

hence $\left\|I-Q_{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}<\delta+\lambda \varphi=\delta_{1}$, where $\phi=\left\|F\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}$. Therefore by induction, $\left\|I-Q_{k} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{F}<\delta_{k}$ for all $k$. Hence, from Theorem 3.1, the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm DBLM converges linearly to $x^{*}$.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed method (DBLM), we apply the algorithm to seven popular problems and compared its numerical result with four (4) prominent methods. The comparison was based upon the following criterion: Number of iterations, CPU time in seconds and storage requirement. The methods are Newton method (NM), fixed Newton method (FN), Broyden method (BM) and diagonal Broyden-
like method (DBLM) that is introduced in this paper. The stopping criterion used is $\left\|s_{k}\right\|+\left\|F\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leq 10^{-4}$. We implemented the four methods (DBLM,NM,FN and BM) using MATLAB 7.0. All the calculations were carried out in a double precision computer. The symbol " - " indicates a failure as a result of:
(1) The CPU time in second and /or number of iteration reaches 300, but no $x_{k}$ satisfies the stopping criterion;
(2) Insufficient memory to the initial the run.

We give some details of the benchmarks test problems as follow:

Problem 1 Trigonometric System of (Byeong (2010)): $f_{i}(x)=\cos \left(x_{i}\right)-1$ $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $x_{0}=(0.5,0.5, . ., 0.5) \times \frac{\pi}{180} \times 100=(0.87,0.87, \ldots, 0.87)$

Problem 2 Artificial problem:
$f_{i}(x)=\ln \left(x_{i}\right) \cos \left(\left(1-\left(1+\left(x^{T} x\right)^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) \exp \left(\left(1-\left(1+\left(x^{T} x\right)^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $x_{0}=(2.5,2.5, . ., 2.5)$

Problem 3 Artificial problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=\cos x_{1}-9+3 x_{1}+8 \exp x_{2}, \quad f_{i}(x)=\cos x_{i}-9+3 x_{i}+8 \exp x_{i-1} \\
& f_{n}(x)=\cos x_{i}-1, i=2, \ldots, n-1 \quad \text { and } \quad(5,5, \ldots, 5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 4 Trigonometric function (Spedicato (1975)).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}(x)=n-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \cos x_{j}+i\left(1-\cos x_{i}\right)-\sin x_{i}, \\
& i=1, \ldots, n \text { and } x_{0}=\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 5 System of $n$ nonlinear equations (Rose (1990)). $f_{i}(x)=x_{i}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=n_{i}}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{n^{2}}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)-n, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $x_{0}=(10,10, \ldots, 10)$.

TABLE 1: Number of iterations and CPU time (in bracket) of Problems (1)-(5)

| Prob | Dim | NM | FN | BM | DBLM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 25 | 7 (0.062) | 236 (0.047) | 12 (0.005) | 25 (0.005) |
| 2 | 25 | 5(0.062) | - (-) | 7 (0.016) | 5 (0.013) |
| 3 | 25 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 11(0.015) |
| 4 | 25 | 6 (0.031) | 68 (0.062) | 11 (0.016) | 12 (0.004) |
| 5 | 25 | 5 (0.047) | 23 (0.031) | 8 (0.014) | 8 (0.004) |
| 1 | 100 | 7 (0.872) | 356 ( 0.168) | 12 (0.018) | 27 (0.010) |
| 2 | 100 | 6 (0.593) | - ( - ) | 7 (0.312) | 5 (0.03) |
| 3 | 100 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 11 (0.016) |
| 4 | 100 | 7 (0.842) | 181 (0.718) | 13 (0.312) | 12 (0.023) |
| 5 | 100 | 4 (0.421) | 8 (0.078) | 7 (0.046) | 12 (0.031) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 500 | 7 (16.988) | - (-) | 13 (4.092) | 29 (0.024) |
| 2 | 500 | 6 (16.1305) | - (-) | 7 ( 2.168) | 5 (0.032) |
| 3 | 500 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 14(0.031) |
| 4 | 500 | 7 (18.985) | 415 (26.801) | 14 (6.099) | 11(0.028) |
| 5 | 500 | 4 (10.561) | 6 (2.824) | 6 (1.732) | 6 (0.016) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1000 | 7 (101.471) | - (-) | 14 (7.167) | 31 (0.032) |
| 2 | 1000 | 6 (107.8747) | - ( - ) | 7 (8.736) | 5 (0.036) |
| 3 | 1000 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 15 (0.051) |
| 4 | 1000 | 7 (131.743) | - (-) | 19 (28.205) | 14 (0.036) |
| 5 | 1000 | 4 (73.851) | 6 (19.126) | 6 (7.691) | 6 (0.031) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10000 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 33 (0.113) |
| 2 | 10000 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 6 (0.172) |
| 3 | 10000 | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | 12 (0.327) |
| 4 | 10000 | - (-) | - (-) | - (-) | 15 (0.359) |
| 5 | 10000 | - (-) | - (-) | $-(-)$ | 5 (0.160) |

TABLE 1 (continued): Number of iterations and CPU time (in bracket) of Problems (1)-(5)

| Prob | Dim | NM | FN | BM | DBLM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 250000 | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $29(6.699)$ |
| 2 | 250000 | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $6(3.526)$ |
| 3 | 250000 | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $12(7.316)$ |
| 4 | 250000 | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $25(12.917)$ |
| 5 | 250000 | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $-(-)$ | $5(2.730)$ |

We have tasted our proposed method (DBLM) through solving five benchmark non-linear systems of equations. The results in Table 1 demonstrate very clearly that the use of DBLM method to solve all the benchmarks problems has significantly reduced the possible execution time (CPU time) from $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ to $O(n)$, especially as the dimension of the systems increases. In addition, the DBLM method entails very less computing exertion in building the approximation of the Jacobian inverse, hence, we claim that it is more efficient to do this than to computes the full elements of Jacobian matrix. From matrix storage requirement point of view, it is obvious that our method has significantly reduced the matrix storage from exponential order to linear order. Moreover, we observe that the DBLM method has never failed in solving the benchmark problems. These observations grant further authentication of the advantages of our diagonal updating approach to Newton's methods for solving large scale systems of nonlinear equations. Finally, these results show that the DBLM method is effective.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented a new diagonal variant of the classical Newton's method for solving a large-scale system of nonlinear equations. The fundamental idea of this method is to approximate the Newton's step using diagonal updating in order to make it less computationally expensive than Newton's method and faster than fixed Newton method. The computational scheme is obtained by approximating the Jacobian inverse into a diagonal matrix by means of variational technique. Numerical testing provides a strong indication that the DBLM method the exhibits enhanced performance in all the tested problems (as measured by the CPU time and matrix storage requirement) by comparing with the other variants of Newton's methods. Lastly we conclude that the use of diagonal updating to
approximate the Newton's step is capable of improving the performance of Newton method to an acceptable level, especially when the function derivatives are relatively expensive or could not be done accurately.
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